UPFIELD AND DOWNFIELD SHIFTS IN THE NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF A TRIS (DIPIVALOMETHANATO) EUROPIUM (III) COMPLEX¹

Paul H. Mazzocchi, Henry J. Tamburin, and Gerald R. Miller Department of Chemistry, University of Maryland College Park, Maryland 20742

(Received in USA 12 April 1971; received in UK for publication 21 April 1971)

Since their introduction² the nmr shift reagents, tris(dipivalomethanato)europium(III) and tris(dipivalomethanato)praseodymium(III), have experienced immediate and marked popularity. The obvious utility of the technique is demonstrated by the number of structural problems which have been solved via its use.³⁻⁶

The induced shift has recently been shown to be essentially pseudocontact in nature 7,8 and, for axially symmetric complexes, should be governed by the equation of McConnell and Robertson⁹

$$\Delta H_{i}/H_{p} = K(3 \cos^{2} \phi_{i} - 1)/r_{i}^{3}$$

Although angle dependence has been considered in at least one case⁷ it has usually been assumed that the 3 $\cos^2 \phi$ term will be of little importance and that it is a reasonable approximation to assume that the chemical shift difference, Δ_{Eu}^{10} , is essentially only Eu - H distance dependent.^{2,8}

In the course of a photochemical investigation we¹² isolated a product, l, whose nmr spectrum was difficult to interpret due to coincidences in the chemical shifts of a number of its protons. Treatment of l with Eu(DPM)₃ caused chemical shift changes sufficient to allow the analysis of the proton spin-spin couplings on a first order basis. Decoupling experiments confirmed the proton assignments and the structure of 1.

1819



All of the ring protons of 1 show the expected negative $\Delta_{E_{11}}$ values¹¹ but, exceptionally, the $\Delta_{E_{11}}$ of the -O-CH₃ protons is positive i.e. we observe upfield and downfield shifts in the Eu(DPM), complex of the same molecule. Similar results are obtained with Pr(DPM), i.e. the ring protons are

shifted upfield but the -O-CH, protons are shifted downfield.

We believe that this is due to the particular geometry of 1 and is a clear example of the importance of the angle dependence term in the equation of McConnell and Robertson. Care should be used in the interpretation of future results obtained with these shift reagents especially in cases where bridged or folded molecules are involved. We expect that additional examples of this phenomenon will appear in the literature shortly.¹⁴

REFERENCES

- (1) The partial support of this work by the Center for Materials Science of the University of Maryland is gratefully acknowledged.
- (2) C.C. Hinckley, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 91 5160 (1969).
- K.J. Liska, A.F. Fentiman, and R.L. Foltz, Tetrahedron Letters, 4657 (1970). (3)
- 0. Achmatowicz, A. Ejchart, J. Jurczak, L. Kozerski, and J. St. Pyrek, Chem. (4) Comm., 98 (1971).
- F.I. Carroll and J.T. Blackwell, Tetrahedron Letters, 4173 (1970). (5)
- R.R. Fraser and Y.Y. Wigfield, Chem. Comm., 1471 (1970). (6)
- J. Briggs, F.A. Hart and G.P. Moss, Chem. Comm., 1506 (1970). (7)
- (8) J.K.M. Sanders and D.H. Williams, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 641 (1971).
- H. McConnell and R.E. Robertson, J. Chem. Phys., 29, 1361 (1958). (9)
- Where $\Delta_{Eu} = \delta CDC1_3 \delta_{Eu}^{n=1}$ 3 and n is the molar ratio of Eu(DPM)₃ to substrate.11 (10)
- P.V. DeMarco, T.K. Elzey, R.B. Lewis and E. Wenkert, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., (11)92, 5737 (1970).
- Experiments resulting in the formation of $\underline{1}$ will be reported elsewhere. (12) The structure of 1 is consistent with its nmr, ir and analytical data. P.H. Mazzocchi and H.J. Tamburin, unpublished results.
- (13) Nmr experiments were carried out on either a Varian A-60-D or a Hitachi R-20A instrument.
- M.R. Willcott has obtained similar results, see: M.R. Willcott, Abstracts, (14) 12th Experimental NMR Conference, Gainesville, Florida, February 18-20.